

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/843,582	04/26/2001	Yuchun Wang	4260/P1/CMP/CMP/RKK	8732	
7	590 12/18/2001				
PATENT COUNSEL			EXAMINER		
APPLIED MA Legal Affairs I	TERIALS, INC. Department		NGUYEN,	DUNG V	
P.O.BOX 450A Santa Clara, C.	=		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
J J, J.	- /		3723		
			DATE MAILED: 12/18/2001		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)			
	_	09/843,5	582	WANG ET AL			
Office Action Summary		Examine		Art Unit	_		
		Dung V N	Nguyen	3723			
	The MAILING DATE of this commun			with the correspondence address	;		
Period fo				MACHITURON EDOM			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this corns period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3 period for reply is specified above, the maximum si re to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no e munication. 30) days, a reply within the statutory period will apply and wall by statute cause the ac	atutory minimum of t will expire SIX (6) M	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. ONTHS from the mailing date of this commur ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ication.		
1)□	Responsive to communication(s) f	iled on					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL.	2b) This action i					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-33 is/are pending in the	application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/s	are withdrawn from o	consideration.				
5)[Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)[Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)⊠	Claim(s) 1-33 are subject to restrict	tion and/or election r	equirement.				
Applicat	tion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the	he Examiner.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	e: a)□ accepted or b)[objected to b	y the Examiner.			
i	Applicant may not request that any o	bjection to the drawing	(s) be held in at	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)□	The proposed drawing correction file			disapproved by the Examiner.			
	If approved, corrected drawings are r		Office action.	•			
12)	The oath or declaration is objected	to by the Examiner.					
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			_			
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a clai	m for foreign priority	under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of						
	1. Certified copies of the priorit						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
*	3. Copies of the certified copie application from the Inte See the attached detailed Office act	ernational Bureau (PC	CT Rule 17.2(8	1)).	ge		
14)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim	ı for domestic priority	under 35 U.S	.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional ap	plication).		
	 a) The translation of the foreign I Acknowledgment is made of a clain 	language provisional	application ha	s been received.			
Attachme							
1) No	tice of References Cited (PTO-892) tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)	/ (PTO-948)) Paper No(s)		riew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). e of Informal Patent Application (PTO-19) :			
U.S. Patent an	Trademark Office	Office Redice Com		n-4 -6 n-	11- 6		

Art Unit: 3723

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-13, drawn to a method of removing metal from substrate, classified in class 134, subclass 1.3.
- II. Claims 14-20, drawn to a scrubber apparatus, classified in class 15, subclass 3.
- III. Claims 21-33, drawn to a method of regenerating, classified in class 451, subclass 56.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus such as a baking chamber.

Inventions I and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are a method of removing metal from substrate

Art Unit: 3723

and a method of regenerating a tool such as a scrubber brush or a polishing pad and they have different mode of operation.

Inventions II and III are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus such as a laser or other collimated light source.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: species 1 includes figure 2 which is a brush and species 2 includes figure 3 which is a polishing pad.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Art Unit: 3723

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dung V Nguyen whose telephone number is 703-305-0036. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 6:30-3:00.

Art Unit: 3723

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph J Hail can be reached on 703-308-2687. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3579 for regular communications and 703-305-3579 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1148.

DVN December 15, 2001

Dung Van Nguyen Patent Examiner